

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JONATHAN CARDENAS, ) No. C 13-4199 LHK (PR)  
11 )  
12 Petitioner, ) ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR  
13 vs. ) EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE AN  
14 ) ANSWER  
15 )  
16 GARY SWARTHOUT, Warden, )  
17 )  
18 Respondent. )  
19 )  
20 ) (Docket No. 5)

21  
22 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus  
23 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The court issued an order to show cause why the petition should  
24 not be granted. (Docket No. 2.) On April 18, 2014, respondent filed a motion for enlargement  
25 of time to file an answer. (Docket No. 5.) On May 2, 2014, respondent filed a response to the  
26 court's order to show case. (Docket No. 6.)

27 Respondent's motion for an extension of time to file an answer is **GRANTED**.  
28 Respondent's response filed May 2, 2014, is deemed timely filed.

This order terminates docket number 5.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 7/16/14

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
LUCY H. KOH  
United States District Judge